

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 SANTIA 03524 102326Z

ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 /026 W
-----064927 102329Z /65

O 102132Z MAY 78 ZFF-4
FM AMEMBASSY SANTIAGO
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 9182

C O N F I D E N T I A L SANTIAGO 3524

STADIS//////////

EXDIS

FOR ARA, D AND L ONLY

E.O. 11652: GDS
TAGS: PFOV, SHUM, CI
SUBJECT: LETELIER/MOFFITT ASSASSINATION INVESTIGATION:
EXTRADITION

REFS: (A) STATE 112082 (B) SANTIAGO 3184

1. WE HAVE DISCUSSED ISSUE POSED IN REFTEL (A) WITH ALFREDO ETCHEBERRY. HE CONTINUES OF OPINION THAT CHILEAN COURTS WOULD CONSIDER ARTICLE 2 OF MONTEVIDEO CONVENTION, REQUIRING TRIAL OF NATIONALS WHEN EXTRADITION REFUSED, AS APPLICABLE -- DESPITE ARTICLE 21 OF CONVENTION AND FACT THAT EARLIER BILATERAL EXTRADITION TREATY REMAINS IN FORCE. ETCHEBERRY'S BASIC POINT IS THAT MONTEVIDEO CONVENTIONNEITHER ABROGATES NOR MODIFIES BILATERAL TREATY BUT RATHER SUPPLEMENTS IT. IN ETCHEBERRY'S OPINION, CHILEAN COURTS WOULD NOT VIEW ABSENCE OF EXPLICIT STATEMENT ON SUPPLEMENTING FORCE OF MONTEVIDEO CONVENTION (LAST PART OF PARA 1 OF REFTEL (A) AS IMPEDIMENT TO THIS INTERPRETATION.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 SANTIA 03524 102326Z

2. INFORMAL TRANSLATION OF ETCHEBERRY'S MEMORANDUM FOLLOWS:

--ARTICLE 21 OF CONVENTION OF MONTEVIDEO STIPULATES THAT "THE PRESENT CONVENTION DOES NOT ABROGATE OR MODIFY THE BILATERAL OR COLLECTIVE TREATIES WHICH AT THE PRESENT DATE ARE IN FORCE BETWEEN THE SIGNATORY STATES". IN THE

SAME MANNER, THE BUSTAMANTE CODE WAS RATIFIED BY CHILE WITH THE RESERVATION THAT THE TERMS OF PRESENT OF FUTURE CHILEAN LEGISLATION WOULD PREVAIL OVER THE BUSTAMANTE CODE IN CASES OF CONTRADITION. (THE TERM "LEGISLATION" INCLUDES INTERNATIONAL TREATIES).

--NEVERTHELESS, THE SUPREME COURT OF CHILE HAS RULED THAT BOTH THE MONTEVIDEO CONVENTION AND THE BUSTAMANTE CODE ARE APPLICABLE AMONG SIGNATORY COUNTRIES IN THOSE RESPECTS THAT DO NOT CONTRADICT OR MODIFY TREATIES, BUT RATHER RESOLVE ASPECTS NOT CONTEMPLATED IN SUCH TREATIES.

--AS EXAMPLES OF SUPREME COURT DECISIONS OF RECENT YEARS MAINTAINING THIS POSITION WE COULD CITE: EXTRADITION OF JOSE ROJAS PORTOCARRERO (1962) (BILATERAL TREATY WITH PERU AND BUSTAMANTE CODE); EXTRADITION OF LUIS GAYAN CONTADOR (1965) (BILATERAL TREATY WITH BOLIVIA AND BUSTAMANTE CODE); EXTRADITION OF AMADEO GARCIA ZEPEDA (1955) (EXTRADITION REQUESTED BY GUATEMALA AND RESOLVED IN CONFORMITY WITH BUSTAMANTE CODE AND MONTEVIDEO CONVENTION); EXTRADITION OF MIGUEL ANGEL MORALES LOBO AND ANOTHER PERSON (1974) (BILATERAL TREATY WITH COLOMBIA AND MONTEVIDEO CONVENTION).

--IN OUR JUDGMENT, ARTICLE 2 OF THE MONTEVIDEO CONVENTION NEITHER ABROGATES NOR MODIFIES ARTICLE 5 OF THE BILATERAL TREATY BETWEEN CHILE AND THE UNITED STATES,

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 SANTIA 03524 102326Z

GIVEN THAT IT ESTABLISHES THE SAME RULE: THE EXTRADITION OF NATIONALS IS DISCRETIONAL. THE MONTEVIDEO CONVENTION ONLY COMPLEMENTS OR ADDS TO THE BILATERAL TREATY WITH RESPECT TO A POINT THAT THE LATTER DOES NOT ADDRESS, AND IT DOES SO IN A MANNER THAT DOES NOT CONTRADICT THE TREATY.

--AS TO THE HANDING OVER OF CHILEAN CITIZENS IN RESPONSE TO FORMAL REQUESTS FOR EXTRADITION FROM FOREIGN STATES, THE MOST IMPORTANT DECISION OF THE SUPREME COURT IS "EXTRADITION OF ARMANDO GONZALES CONTRERAS (1961)", WHICH CONTAINS AN EXTENSIVE ANALYSIS TO JUSTIFY THE HANDING OVER OF A CHILEAN CITIZEN TO PERU BY MEANS OF EXTRADITION.

3. WE ARE POUCHING TEXT OF ETCHEBERRY'S MEMORANDUM TO
ARA/AND/CH.
LANDAU

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptoning: Z
Capture Date: 01 jan 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: ASSASSINATION, EXTRADITION, INVESTIGATIONS, COURT PROCEEDINGS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 10 may 1978
Decaption Date: 20 Mar 2014
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 20 Mar 2014
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978SANTIA03524
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D780199-0341
Format: TEL
From: SANTIAGO
Handling Restrictions:
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t19780573/aaaackio.tel
Line Count: 110
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 724630a1-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ACTION SS
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: ONLY, STADIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: ONLY, STADIS
Reference: 78 STATE 112082, 78 SANTIAGO 3184
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 01 jul 2005
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 2735124
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: LETELIER/MOFFITT ASSASSINATION INVESTIGATION: EXTRADITION
TAGS: PGOV, SHUM, CI, (LETELIER, ORLANDO), (TOWNLEY, MICHAEL)
To: STATE
Type: TE
vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/724630a1-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Sheryl P. Walter
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
20 Mar 2014
Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014